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Recap: Why DIASCA?

- Lack of access to digital solutions
- Fragmented digital market
- Lack of standard approaches
- Lack of data sovereignty
- Additional burden instead of benefit
- Economic & digital dependencies

Risk of Exclusion: Farmers, Regions, Countries
Traceability, Identification, Focus

**Geospatial data**
Pertaining to a geography (field, region, country) based on geo-Ids (e.g. field polygons)
*Example: Land use change, soil, carbon*

**Traceability data**
Product-related, dynamic event data or Critical Tracking Events (CTE)
*Including Chain of custody, lots / batches & mergers*
Referencing Geo- and Entity-IDs, aggregating their attributes

**Entity-based data**
Pertaining to a business entity (farm, buyer etc.) based on entity-Ids
*Example: Farm income, productivity*

---

**Forest Monitoring**

**Farm Income**
DIASCA is geared towards the use of and generation of open source results and open standards to maximize accessibility.

DIASCA is unbiased, technology- and solution-agnostic and not focusing on a particular commodity or geography, to allow for scaled adoption.

DIASCA’s approach is open and inclusive. DIASCA seeks collaboration with like-minded and complementary initiatives and avoids duplications.

DIASCA is farmer centric. It believes in multiple-win situations, empowering farmer organisations while facilitating due diligence efforts of agri-businesses, IT service providers, and governments.